

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,673	08/25/2003	Thomas R. Briscoe	03-5269/CIP	5238
75	90 02/09/2005		EXAMINER	
Edward M. Livingston, P.A.			PUROL, DAVID M	
963 Trail Terrace Drive Naples, FL 34103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3634	
·			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/647,673	BRISCOE ET AL.				
Qffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	David M Purol	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reput	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sI Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the months.	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	laining date of this communication, even in	minely med, may reduce any				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2}$	5 August 2003.					
•	<i>,</i> —					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum  application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/647,673

Art Unit: 3634

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct 1. species of the claimed invention:

Species I of the hurricane shutter drawn to figures 1-7;

Species II of the hurricane shutter drawn to figures 8-10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the Art Unit: 3634

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David M Purol at telephone number 703/308-2168.

David M Purol Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

DMP (703) 308-2168 February 7, 2005